WO

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	<u>DISTRICT OF</u>	ARIZUNA	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			

V. V.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	Jorge Valdez-Peraza	Case Number: <u>13-01815M-001</u>			
and was re	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 epresented by counsel. I conclude by a prependant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing was held on June 24, 2013. Defendant was present conderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention			
	preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT			
Tilliu by a	· ·	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
		ant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
×	If released herein, the defendant	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported			
	The defendant has no significant co	ontacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in t to assure his/her future appearance	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
×	The defendant has a prior criminal h	has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico	he defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty appli substantial family ties to Mexico.	amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to a	ppear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade I	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	Ŭ	of years imprisonment.			
Th at the time	ne Court incorporates by reference the mate of the hearing in this matter, except as no	erial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court of the record.			
	•	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
1.	There is a serious risk that the defer	ndant will flee.			
2.	No condition or combination of cond	litions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
	DIRECT	IONS REGARDING DETENTION			
a correctio appeal. The of the United	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable ne defendant shall be afforded a reasonabled States or on request of an attorney for the	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in e, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending e opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the pose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.			
	APPEAL	S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE			
		is detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to n to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District			
Services s		to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial re the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and			
, and the second	June 24, 2013	1 Meleat			
DAIE	Julie 24, 2013	JAMES F. METCALE United States Magistrate Judge			